

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 RICKY LEE GORMAN,

7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 BRITTANY TAMASO, et al.,

10 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-01678-JAD-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 22]

11 On May 2, 2024, the Court screened Plaintiff's amended complaint and afforded Plaintiff
12 an opportunity to file a second amended complaint. Docket No. 20. The Court set a deadline for
13 the second amended complaint of June 3, 2024. *See id.* at 12.

14 Pending before the Court now is Plaintiff's motion to extend that deadline. Docket No. 22.
15 The motion to extend asserts that more time is needed because the screening order contained a
16 scrivener's error as to the last name of the witness who reported Plaintiff to the police, as alleged
17 in the pleadings. *See* Docket No. 22 at 1-2. Although it is not clear why this circumstance
18 necessitates an extension of the second amended complaint deadline, the Court will **GRANT** the
19 motion to extend, **RESET** the deadline for the second amended complaint for June 17, 2024, and
20 issue a corrected screening order modifying the witness's last name and updating the deadline for
21 the second amended complaint.¹

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: May 15, 2024

24
25 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

26
27 ¹ Plaintiff also seems to contend that the Court erred in the screening order with respect to
28 the analysis in the noted section. *See* Docket No. 22 at 2. The Court is not persuaded that
reconsideration of its analysis is warranted. *See* Local Rule 59-1.